

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

After analysing the justification of the extension of the state of emergency by French Government, the hypothesis is proven that there is an existensial threat of the civil liberty. Therefore, State of Emergency extended to pursue the security. The critiques of several rights organisations are not significant towards the decision of Francois to extend the policy, which is supported by the parliament. They approved every proposal requested by Francois Hollande. The prolongation of the policy conducted three times until EURO 2016 was successfully be held; November, February, and May.

By issuing a State of Emergency, several action can be taken by the government. First, the police officer can raid the suspected person's house in day or night. Then, the authorities can assign the suspected-person to house arrest without any judicial court. Government can limit the movement of the assigned-person movement due to government consideration as public threat. Second, the authorities can restrict the public gathering, such as demonstrations. More than that, the other public activities might be controlled by the authorities. The last is that the government can shutdown the media and restrict their activites.

The national security of France was threaten as Paris was attacked by terrorist in five different places almost in the same time. The first extension in November was executed due to security factor in France. The condition was not stable and one of the

suspected terrorism was still moving around. In February, the implementation of State of Emergency was continued since the parliament approved the proposal and threat still exist. In May, one of the suspected person was detained in Brussel by the police officer. However, due the importance of several events, government agreed to keep on the execution of house arrest and several public activites restriction.

The other important reason of continuing the implementation of State of Emergency is securing international events EURO 2016 and Tour de France. The several actions which taken under state of emergency could be possibly limiting the terrorist movement. In May 2016, the policy was extended for two months until July 2016. The possibility of terrorism attack in France remain high. The government does not want to loosen its security since EURO 2016 should be conducted. The history of International Sporting Event recorded that several terrorism attempt happened as the events is held. To prevent this thing happen in EURO 2016, State of emergency should be implemented and suspected person should be kept under house arrest. Furthermore, EURO 2016 will be a turning point for Tourism business in France, as the Tourism sector of France falls after Paris Attack 2015. The economic advantage of EURO 2016 is also important for France. Lot of job is opened in this event, during the event and the preparation. EURO 2016 also sped up the infrastructure development in France to fulfil the minimum requirements of facility asked by UEFA.

The decision of French Government to extend the State of Emergency ignoring the critiques of rights organisations is significant for the security of the State. The

reason of the government to prolong the policy for the EURO 2016 is also proven by seeing the importance of the event; which are the economic reason and as a turning point for tourism after Paris Attack 2015.

Lastly, Terrorists who attacked Paris in November 2015 openly stated that France is one of their top priority target. France, in order to eradicate the threat, reacted the statement by implementing the State of Emergency. French Republic has a justification of implementing State of Emergency. It might be contrary with the values of democratic state, which embraces the human rights norm. Moreover, the derogation of Civil Liberties under State of Emergency is conflicting with the slogan of French Republic, *liberte*. However, the government defend their decision with security reason.