

CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

A. Conclusion

Based on the previous discussion in chapter IV, the researcher concludes that in the research on “The Urgency of the Establishment of the National Dispute Resolution Chamber (NDRC) in Indonesian Football”, there are two conclusions provided as follows:

1. The dispute settlement body for sports, especially regarding to football matters has its own weakness. In PSSI dispute settlement body, the issue of impartiality and independency becomes something not really present for this dispute settlement body. Whereas the dispute settlement body should aim to produce fair, legitimative and integrity decisions. This is in contrast to the NDRC which highly upholds impartiality and integrity as a dispute settlement body. Then, the settlement of disputes in arbitration BAORI and BAKI countless are not accommodating to the party’s disputes, especially footballers. The problem of the salary dispute that many suffered footballers in Indonesia, certainly make the step those who want to seek justice through BAORI and BAKI will be constrained by the variable cost more than in the NDRC.
2. With the many cases of football disputes that have no clear direction, then the establishment of the NDRC has become urgent for football in Indonesia. It is hoped that this dispute settlement body will be an appropriate option for parties,

footballers and clubs to settle disputes. Other than that, the existence of the NDRC will only take over the function and authority of PSSI as a dispute settlement body. Because the NDRC will not disturb the authority of PSSI in order to organize Indonesian football in general.

B. Recommendation

The researcher recommends that the National Dispute Resolution Chamber (NDRC) must be established in Indonesia, where its function as the dispute settlement body in the sports (football) matters, which is impartial, independent and accommodativeness to the parties, be it the footballers or clubs in Indonesian football.