## Chapter four

## Findings and Discussion

In this chapter, the researcher presents the finding and discussion of the research. The findings answered the research questions proposed in this research. Then, in the discussion, the researcher presents further information and relates the findings to the theory reviewed in chapter two.

## Findings

This part deals with the several findings related to the statistical analysis. The finding were obtained from questionnaire and the data documents reporting of the students' speaking score. This part presents the data of questionnaire debate, speaking skill score and the impact of debate habit toward speaking skill at EED UMY. The first data was collected by questionnaire from the students who joined debate community at English Education Department batches 2011-2014. The second data came from the students speaking skill score at EED UMY. The data were analyzed using SPSS (statistical package for the social science) version 19.0 for windows. The output from the SPSS was expected to be able to answer the research question and the result could be seen from the table on findings before explained in discussion part to answer the research question.

Debate habit at EED UMY. How debate habit at EED UMY was the first research question. The questionnaire were distributed to 31 students who joined debate community at EED UMY as the sample of this research to obtain the data on how debate habit at EED UMY. The researcher utilized the statistical calculation using SPSS 19.0 to present the data provided in the following table:

| Table 4.1 <br> Descriptive Statistic |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| N Valid | 31 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 52.61 |
| Std. Error of Mean | .933 |
| Median | 54.00 |
| Mode | 54 |
| Std. Deviation | 5.194 |
| Variance | 26.978 |
| Skewness | -.311 |
| Std. Error of <br> Skewness | .421 |
| Kurtosis | -1.155 |
| Std. Error of <br> Kurtosis | .821 |
| Range | 17 |
| Minimum | 43 |
| Maximum | 60 |
| Sum | 1631 |

Table above shows mean, median, mode, skewness of all questionnaire obtained from students. The highest of data was 60 and the lowest data was 43 and mean of the data was 52.61 . The normality of all questionnaire could be seen from skewness. All of questionnaire was normal (-0.3) because the data was categorized normal when range of the data in value -1 until +1 .

The explanation of each questionnaire items was delivered as follows:

Table 4.2
Q1. Debate activity makes me able to give an opinion with strong reason

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Agree | 12 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 38.7 |
|  | strongly agree | 19 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on the table above, there were 12 students or $38.7 \%$ who answered agree and there were 19 students or $61.3 \%$ who answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 1. It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity made them able to give opinion with strong reason.

| Table 4.3 <br> Q2. Debate activity ma | me underst | d how to | pro and contra | opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid Agree | 11 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 35.5 |
| strongly agree | 20 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, there were 11 students or $35.5 \%$ who answered agree and there were 20 students or $64.5 \%$ who answered strongly agree for the statement to answered question 2. It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity made them understand how to give pro and contra opinion.

Table 4.4
Q3. Debate activity makes me understand how to analyze a problem

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Agree | 19 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 61.3 |
|  | strongly agree | 12 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on the table above, there were 19 students or $61.3 \%$ who answered agree and there were 12 students or $38.7 \%$ who answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 3. It means that most of the students agreed that debate made them understand how to analyze a problem.

Table 4.5
Q4. Debate activity makes me able to develop critical thinking


Based on the table above, there were one students or 3.2 \% who answered disagree, 11 students or $35.5 \%$ who answered agree and 19 students or $61.3 \%$ who answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 4 . It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity made them able to develop critical thinking.

Table 4.6
Q5. Debate activity makes me able to develop empathy to other people

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Disagree | 1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
|  | Agree | 11 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 38.7 |
|  | strongly agree | 19 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on the table above, there were one students or $3.2 \%$ who answered disagree, 11 students or $35.5 \%$ answered agree and 19 students or $61.3 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 4. It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity made them able develop empathy to other people.

Table 4.7
Q6. Debate activity makes me able to develop how to communicate with other people

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Disagree | 4 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 |
|  | Agree | 18 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 71.0 |
|  | Strongly agree | 9 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, 4 students or $12.9 \%$ answered disagree, 18 students or $58.1 \%$ answered agree and 9 students or $29 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 5. It means that most of the students agreed that debate made them able to develop how to communicate with other people.

Table 4.8
Q7. Debate activity makes me able to develop listening skill and speaking skill

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Agree | 18 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 58.1 |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |   <br>  13 | 41.9 |
|  | 31.9 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
|  | Totral | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |

Based on table above, there were 1 students or $3.2 \%$ who answered disagree, 15 students or $48.4 \%$ answered strongly agree and 15 students or $48.4 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 7. It means that most students agreed and strongly agreed that debate made them able to develop listening skill and speaking skill.

| Table 4.9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid Disagree | 1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Agree | 15 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 51.6 |
| strongly disagree | 15 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, 2 students or $6.5 \%$ answered disagree, 16 students or $51.6 \%$ students answered agree, and 13 students or $41.9 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 8. It means that most of the students agreed that debate activity made them able to develop the skill to rise an idea.

Table 4.10
Q9. Debate activity influences my motivation in English learning process

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Disagree | 2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
|  | Agree | 16 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 58.1 |
|  | strongly agree | 13 | 41.9 | 41.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, there were two students or $6.5 \%$ answered disagree, 16 students or $51.6 \%$ answered agree, and 13 students or $41.9 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 9. It means that most of the students agreed that debate activity influenced their motivation in English learning process.

Table 4.11
Q10. Debate teaches me how to understand problem, fact, and theories

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Agree | 14 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
|  | srequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 17 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 100.0 |

Based on table above, there were 14 or $45.2 \%$ who answered agree and 17 students or $54.8 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 10. It means that most students strongly agreed that debate activity taught them how to understand about problem, fact and theories.

| Table 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q12. Debate activity makes me more communicative in speaking skill |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on table above, one students or 3.2\% answered disagree, 12
students or $38.7 \%$ answered agree, and 18 students or $58.1 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 12. It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate made them more communicative in speaking English.

| Table 4.13 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid Agree | 12 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 38.7 |
| strongly agree | 19 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, 12 students or $38.7 \%$ answered agree and 19 students or $61.3 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 13. It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity helped them to improve public speaking skill.

| Table 4.14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid Agree | 11 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 35.5 |
| strongly agree | 20 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, 11 students or $35.5 \%$ answered agree and 20 students or $64.5 \%$ answered strongly agree for the statement to answer question 14. It means that most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity improved speaking skill when giving opinion.

Table 4.15
Q15. Debate activity increases confidence in speaking English

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Prequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |  |  |
| Valid | Agree | 8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 |
|  | 23 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 100.0 |  |
|  | strongly agree | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table above, there were 8 students or $25.8 \%$ who answered agree and there were 23 students or $74.2 \%$ who answered strongly agree. For the statement to answer question 15 , it means most of the students strongly agreed that debate activity increased confidence in speaking skill.

Table 4.16
Frequencies of debate habit

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valid | 1 | 10 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 |
|  | 2 | 11 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 91.3 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Based on the table above, the data revealed that 10 students or $43.5 \%$ once a week join in debate. There were 11 students or $47.8 \%$ in twice a week joined in the debate. Also, there were two students or $8.7 \%$ joined the debate three times a week. It means that most of the students joined the debate activity at EED UMY twice a week.

Figure 4.1
Histogram of students' debate habit


The table above is presented mean value of debate habit at EED UMY. The mean value of debate habit is 3.5 . The mean value 3.5 is included in very good category based on the table categories mean value that is presented in discussion section.

Students speaking skill score at EED UMY. The second research question was to find out speaking skill at EED UMY. It was completed by analyzing the students speaking skill score through descriptive statistical analysis.

| Table 4.17 Speaking score |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid 75 | 7 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 |
| 80 | 3 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 32.3 |
| 85 | 5 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 48.4 |
| 90 | 10 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 80.6 |
| 95 | 6 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Table 4.17 shows about students' speaking score. There were 7 students who had score 75 or $22.6 \%, 3$ students or $9.7 \%$ who had score 80,5 students or $16.1 \%$ who had score 85,10 students or $32.3 \%$ who had score 90 and the last 6 students or $19.4 \%$ who had score 95.

Table 4.18
Categories student's speaking score

| Score | Total of students | Frequencies | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $80-100$ | 24 | $77 \%$ | Excellent |
| $66-79$ | 7 | $23 \%$ | Good |
| $56-65$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | Enough |
| $46-55$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | Fail |
| $0-45$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | Poor |

The second table indicates the students speaking skill score at EED UMY. Most of the respondent (77\%) were in score 80 - 100 which indicated that they were in an excellent category. The other respondent (23\%) were in score 66-79 which indicated that they were in a good category. It means that most students had an excellent score in speaking skill.

The impact of debate habit toward speaking skill at EED UMY. In determining the impact of debate habit toward speaking skill at EED UMY, the researcher used the statistical analysis in SPSS 19.0 by using simple linier regression. This result were divided into two parts. The first part presented the coefficient determination and the second part was the Partial Test to answer the hypothesis

| $\|$Table 4.19 <br> Coefficient Determinations |
| :--- |
| Model |


| Table 4.20 <br> Coefficient Correlation <br> Interpretation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Score | Category |
| $0.8-1.0$ | Very high |
| $0.6-0.79$ | High |
| $0.4-0.59$ | Middle |
| $0.2-0.39$ | Low |
| $0.0-0.19$ | Very low |

Regarding the result of this study, the table shows the coefficient correlation $(R)$ between debate habit toward speaking skill and about how much debate habit gave impact toward speaking skill $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}\right.$ square $)$. The first, the researcher focused on R table, R table explained how much the coefficient correlation between debate habit and speaking skill was. Based on the table 4.20 there was correlation between debate habit and speaking skill, and the researcher found 0.497 . The value 0,497 is included middle category. Additionally, $R^{2}$ value ( R square) presented about how much the impact of debate habit toward speaking skill, debate habit has contribution in giving impact toward speaking skill $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=24.7 \%\right)$ and $75.3 \%$ is influenced by other factors. Other factors implemented at EED UMY which influenced speaking were not only debate, but also group discussion, presentation, conversation and soon.

| Table 4.21 <br> Partial Test |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients |  |  |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta | T | Sig. |
| 1 (Constant) | 43.720 | 13.690 |  | 3.194 | . 003 |
| Total | . 775 | . 251 | . 497 | 3.085 | . 004 |

The hypothesis was answered in the table above, Partial test can be shown in $t$ counting (3.085). Priyatno (2012:50) stated that, the hypotheses was accepted if $T$ counting > Ttable and t table. Afterward, the researcher found that $t$ table $=2.039$ which means $T$ counting $>T$ table $(3.085>2.0389)$, so there was statically significant impact of debate habit toward speaking skill. Shortly, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ was rejected.

## Discussion

The second part presents the discussion of the research which gave the additional information that related with the findings. This part discusses about the interpretation and analysis of the statistic as demonstrated in the findings section in order to answer the research question.

Debate habit. The researcher gathered the data of debate habit from questionnaire. The data that were analyzed would be used to identify the students' debate habit. To reveal the debate habit at EED UMY the researcher used the mean of value to know the category of debate habit is drawn in the following table.

| Table 4.22 <br> Categories of mean value |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Category | Score |
| Very low | $0.0-1.0$ |
| Low | $1.0-2.0$ |
| Good | $2.0-3.0$ |
| Very good | $3.0-4.0$ |

The average mean score of the study was 3.5 . It means that debate habit at EED UMY was very good. Considering the result of the debate habit at EED UMY, the researcher assumed that debate habit included several activities and also it was included their frequency to join debate activity. The students were able to develop communication with other people, and increase speaking and listening skill. Those statements implied on Krieger theory (2005) that debate was an activity for language learning because it engaged students in a variety of cognitive and linguistic ways, providing meaningful listening, speaking practice and debate was also highly effective for developing argumentation skills.

Subsequently, in debate habit the students were good in giving ideas based on a strong reason and analyzing problems. It was in line with Darby (2007) that debate defined as an old teaching-learning strategy that presupposed an established position, either pro or con, on an issue, assertion, proposition, or solution to a problem. Furthermore, debate activity was able to develop empathy, increased speaking and listening skill. It was supported by Kennedy (2007) that debate was an instructional strategy of mastering the content as well to develop critical thinking skills, oral skill, and empathy.

Additionally, debate activity thought them how to understand problem,
fact, and theories and involved them to be communicative in speaking. It was supported by Tumposky (2004) that debate also provided an opportunity for applying knowledge through role-playing while demonstrating their ideas, values, and attitudes. The students' debate activity improved public speaking skill, and improved their speaking skill when they gave opinion. In addition debate activity increased confidence. The theories were based on Yang \&Rusli (2012) as cited in Roy \& Macchiette (2005) stated that students were able to improve their opinion and public speaking skills, while students gained confidence by debate activity.

The explanation above clarified about debate habit at EED UMY. In conclusion, debate habit at EED UMY was very good. It was because the students who join debate was very good in several activities of debate and it was included about their frequency to join in debate activity at EED UMY.

Speaking skill. To answer the second research question, the researcher used the score of speaking skill of the students at EED UMY. In order to know whether debate habit gave impact toward speaking skill, the researcher should know the score of speaking skill. The table below presents the result speaking skill of the students at EED UMY. Here the researcher only focused to study speaking skill score of students who join debate at EED UMY.

Figure 4.2


The criteria of speaking skill score of students was presented in chapter three. The researcher found that $77 \%$ of the students who joined debate had an excellent score for speaking skill score. Whereas, $23 \%$ of the students who joined debate had a good score for speaking skill. In addition, in this study the researcher used the students who joined debate as the sample. The result of speaking skill above was the speaking skill score of the students who join debate at EED UMY. In conclusion, the speaking skill score of the students who joined debate at EED UMY was included in excellent category and good category.

The impact of debate habit toward speaking skill. The last research question is to find out the impact of debate habit toward speaking skill. The impact of debate habit toward speaking skill could be answered based on the findings. The researcher used simple linier regression to find out the impact of debate habit toward speaking. The finding showed ( $R$ square $/ R^{2}=24.7 \%$ ), debate habit had contribution in giving impact toward speaking skill $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=24.7 \%\right)$ and while the rest impact $75.3 \%$ was influenced by other factors. The related research also explained that debate gave impact in improving speaking skill of
students, teaching speaking through debate can be enjoyable experience for both teacher and student. In fact, students could improve their speaking skill after being taught by debate technique (Rubiati 2010). In this research the researcher found 24.7 \% of students' speaking skill was influenced by debate habit and $75.3 \%$ was influenced by other factors. The reason the impact smaller than the rest impact was because there were other factors or others techniques that gave impact toward speaking skill. There were a lot of technique that had been implemented to enhance speaking skill. The other factors were the possible factors which influenced speaking not only debate habit but it can be discuss, conversation, presentation and soon.

In this study, based on the findings, the hypothesis was answered. Alternate hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ that stated that there was a statically significant impact of debate habit toward speaking skill At English Education Department was accepted. Contrary, null hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ that stated that there was no statically significant impact of debate habit toward speaking skill at English Education Department was rejected.

