THE SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTE ON JURISDICTION AMONG THE STATE INSTITUTIONS IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS



This undergraduate thesis is submitted as one of the requirements to obtain the degree of Bachelor of Laws at Faculty of Law
Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta

Nama : Nuryati Saleh

NIM : 20110610148

Faculty : Law

Major : International Program for Law and Sharia (IPOLS)

Field of Study : Constitutional Law

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH YOGYAKARTA
2018

DECLARATION OF RESEARCH AUTHENTICITY

بَفْ اللَّهِ اللَّ

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled "THE SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTE ON JURISDICTION AMONG THE STATE INSTITUTIONS IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT" its content is truly the work of my own and I did not do plagiarism or quotation in ways that are inconsistent with the prevailing ethic in the scientific community. On this statement, I am ready to bear the risk/any sanctions imposed to me in accordance with applicable regulations, if the future found a breach of scientific ethics, I am willing to accept the sanctions.

Written by

Name

: Nuryati Saleh

Student Number

: 20110610148

Faculty

: Law

Major

: International Program for Law and Sharia

University

: Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta

Yogyakarta, December 6th, 2018

Nuryati Saleh

MOTTO

"Do not let their words sadden you"
(Yunus-10:65)

If you are on the path towards Allah, then run.

If it is hard, then jog.

If you get tired, then walk.

And if you can't, then crawl,

but never go back or stop

(Imam Syafi'i)

DEDICATION

This undergraduate thesis is dedicated to:

Allah SWT

Prophet Muhammad SAW

My Beloved Parents

La Kadir Saleh

Cuna Kadir (alm.)

My Beloved Sister and Brothers

Nani Saleh

Anwar Saleh

Asrul Saleh

FOREWORD

Alhamdulillahirabbil'alamin, all praises belong to Allah SWT, The Most Merciful and the Most Gracious who has given me His blessing and an opportunity to accomplish my Undergraduate Thesis entitled "The Settlement of Dispute on Jurisdiction among the State Institutions in the Constitutional Court" at International Program for Law and Sharia, Faculty of Law, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.

I would like to express my best gratitude to my parents Bapak La Kadir Saleh and Ibu Cuna Kadir (alm.) and also my sister Nani Saleh, my brothers Anwar Saleh and Asrul Saleh and all my family. Thanks for everything you gave to me. Thank you for the support, prayer, guidance and love.

My sincere thanks are addressed to both advisors Bapak Iwan Satriawan, S.H., MCL., Ph.D and Ibu Nanik Prasetyoningsih, S.H., M.H who guided me with patient, wisdom, and compassion. And also to all lecturers at the Faculty of Law, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta who inspired me to reach my future.

The very most thanks are delivered to Anwar Bali as the best partner in everything especially for his support, patience, motivation and prayer since my undergraduate thesis started until accomplished.

The biggest thanks go to all my friends, all my beloved brothers and sisters at IPOLS batch 2011, my senior and junior in IPOLS as well as all my friends at the Faculty of Law, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT	i
APPROVAL PAGE	ii
ENDORSEMENT PAGE	iii
DECLARATION PAGE	iv
MOTTO	v
DEDICATION	vi
FOREWORD	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	viii
LIST OF TABLE	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CILL PATTE ONE INTER OR LICENON	
CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION	
A. Backround of Research	1
A. Backround of Research	4
A. Backround of Research B. Research Problem	4 5
A. Backround of Research B. Research Problem C. Objectives of Research	4 5
A. Backround of Research B. Research Problem C. Objectives of Research D. Benefits of Research	4 5 5
A. Backround of Research B. Research Problem C. Objectives of Research D. Benefits of Research CHAPTER TWO - LITERATURE REVIEW	4 5 5
A. Backround of Research B. Research Problem C. Objectives of Research D. Benefits of Research CHAPTER TWO - LITERATURE REVIEW A. Constitutional Court	4 5 5
A. Backround of Research B. Research Problem C. Objectives of Research D. Benefits of Research CHAPTER TWO - LITERATURE REVIEW A. Constitutional Court B. State Institution	4 5 5 6 7

	3. Concept of State Institution	14
	4. Dispute Object among State Institutions	15
	5. Dispute Subject among State Institutions	16
СНАІ	PTER THREE – RESEARCH METHODS	
A.	Type of Research	21
B.	Type of Data	21
C.	Research Approach	22
D.	Technique of Collecting Data	23
E.	Analysis	24
СНАІ	PTER FOUR – FINDING AND ANALYSIS	
A.	Potential Dispute among State Institutions	25
B.	Procedure to Resolve Dispute among State Institutions in the	
	Constitutional Court	26
C.	Decision of State Institution Dispute in the Constitutional Court	30
	1. Decision of Constitutional Court No. 3/SKLN-X/2012 with	
	Accepted Decision	33
	2. Decision of Constitutional Court No. 068/SKLN-II/2004	
	with Rejected Decision	36
	3. Decision of Constitutional Court No. 2/SKLN-X/2012 with	
	Rejected Decision	39
	4. Decision of Constitutional Court No.030/SKLN-IV/2006	
	with Unacceptable Decision	42
	5. Decision of Constitutional Court No. 1/SKLN-XI/2011 with	
	Unacceptable Decision	44
D.	The Role of the Constitutional Court in Settling Dispute on	
	Jurisdiction among the State Institution	47
СНАН	PTER FIVE – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
Α.	Conclusion	53

B.	Recommendation	53
BIBIL	IOGRAPHY	

LIST OF TABLE

A.	Recapitulation of Dispute on Jurisdiction among the State	
	Institution Case	1
В.	Dispute on Jurisdiction among the State Institution in the	
	Constitutional Court	30

LIST OF FIGURES

4.1 The Procedures to Resolve Dispute between State Institutions	28	3
--	----	---