Design of PSS Based on Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Method
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Abstract—A contemporary solution to this problem is the addition of power system stabilizers (PSS) to the automatic voltage regulators on the generators in the power system. The damping provided by this additional stabilizer provides the means to reduce the inhibiting effects of the oscillations. The problem is further being complicated by continuous variation in power system operating conditions. In the simultaneous tuning approach, exhaustive computational tools are required to obtain optimum parameter settings for the PSS, while in the case of sequential tuning, although the computational load is fewer, evaluating the tuning sequence is an additional requirement. There is a further problem of eigenvalue drift. This research presents the PSS model based on adaptive neuro-fuzzy for designing robust power system stabilizers for a multi machine system. The system is simulated in Simulink while the PSS is implemented using Fuzzy Logic Toolbox in Matlab.

Index Terms—Power system stabilizer, transient stability, multimachine power system, neuro-fuzzy adaptive.

I. INTRODUCTION

Power system oscillations, especially low frequency electromechanical oscillations have been a major concern in power system planning and operation. On the other hand, increasing operating and maintenance costs as well as continuously increasing demand on electrical energy has forced power companies to call upon all of their installed capacities despite rapidly fluctuating operating conditions. These reasons and the apparition of low frequency local and inter area oscillations hindering power flow have caused renewed interest in robust PSS techniques. Among techniques to enhance power flow, power system stabilizers have been used with field proven efficient for more than 80 years in savings of millions of dollars [1]. PSS have been installed in many countries in the early 60s which witnessed the expansion of system excitation task by using auxiliary stabilizing signals to control the field voltage to damp system oscillations in addition to the terminal voltage error signal. This part of excitation control has been coined as PSS, i.e. power system stabilizer [2]. Early PSS were basically static phase lead compensators inserted ahead of the regulator/exciter to supply supplementary stabilizing signals to compensate for the large phase lag introduced by the excitation system. Yet rapidly fluctuating loading conditions require a more intelligent and more robust approach. Advances in so called intelligent control [3] have thrusted forward their applications in power system control driven by progress in computing technology as well as theoretical advances methodologies based on human intelligence emulating algorithms such as fuzzy systems, artificial neural networks, genetic algorithms, etc.

II. FUNDAMENTAL THEORY

A. Power System Stabilizer

The basic function of a power system stabilizer is to extend stability limits by modulating generator excitation to provide damping to the oscillation of synchronous machine rotors relative to one another. The oscillations of concern typically occur in the frequency range of approximately 0.2 to 3.0 Hz, and insufficient damping of these oscillations may limit ability to transmit power. To provide damping, the stabilizer must produce a component of electrical torque, which is in phase with the speed changes. The implementation details differ, depending upon the stabilizer input signal employed. However, for any input signal, the transfer function of the stabilizer must compensate for the gain and phase of excitation system, the generator and the power system, which collectively determines the transfer function from the stabilizer output to the component of electrical torque which can be modulated via excitation system [12].

Implementation of a power system stabilizer implies adjustment of its frequency characteristic and gain to produce the desired damping of the system oscillations in the frequency range of 0.2 to 3.0 Hz. The transfer function of a generic power system stabilizer may be expressed as

\[ G_p(s) = K_s \frac{T_p s(1 + s T_1)(1 + s T_2)}{(1 + T_w s)(1 + s T_3)(1 + s T_4)} G_f(s) \] (1)

where \( K_s \) represents stabilizer gain and \( G_f(s) \) represents combined transfer function of torsional filter (if required) and input signal transducer. The stabilizer frequency characteristic is adjusted by varying the time constant \( T_w, T_1, T_2, T_3 \) and \( T_4 \). A torsional filter may not be necessary with signals like power or delta-P-omega signal [13].

A power system stabilizer can be most effectively applied if it is tuned with an understanding of the associated power characteristics and the function to be performed by the stabilizer. Knowledge of the modes of power system oscillation to which the stabilizer is to provide damping establishes the range of frequencies over which the stabilizer must operate. Simple analytical models, such as that of a single machine infinite bus (SMIB) system, can be useful in determining the frequencies of local mode oscillations during the planning stage of a new plant. It is also desirable to establish the weak power system conditions and associated loading for which stable operation is expected, as the adequacy of the power system stabilizer application will be determined under these performance conditions. Since the limiting gain of the some stabilizers, viz., those having input signal from speed or power, occurs with a strong transmission system, it is necessary to establish the strongest credible system as the “tuning condition” for these stabilizers. Experience suggest that designing a stabilizer for satisfactory operation with an external system reactance ranging from 20% to 80% on the unit rating will ensure robust performance [14].

B. Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Method

Fig. 1 shows Sugeno’s fuzzy logic model. Fig. 2 shows the architecture of the ANFIS, comprising by input, fuzzification, inference and defuzzification layers. The network can be visualized as consisting of inputs, with \( N \) neurons in the input layer and \( F \) input membership functions for each input, with \( F^N \) neurons in the inference and defuzzification layers and one neuron in the output layer. For simplicity, it is assumed that the fuzzy inference system under consideration has two inputs \( x \) and \( y \) and one output \( z \) as shown in Fig. 2.

For a zero-order Sugeno fuzzy model, a common rule set with two fuzzy if-then rules is the following:

Rule 1: If \( x \) is \( A_1 \) and \( y \) is \( B_1 \), Then \( f_1 = r_1 \) (2)

Rule 2: If \( x \) is \( A_2 \) and \( y \) is \( B_2 \), Then \( f_2 = r_2 \) (3)
Fig. 1. Sugeno’s fuzzy logic model

Here the output of the ith node in layer n is denoted as $O_{in}$:

**Layer 1.** Every node i in this layer is a square node with a node function:

- $O^1_i = \mu A_i(x)$, for $i = 1, 2$ \hfill (4)
- or,
- $O^1_i = \mu B_{i2}(y)$, for $i = 3, 4$ \hfill (5)

Where $\mu A_i(x)$ is chosen to be bell-shaped with maximum equal to 1 and minimum equal to 0, such as the function:

$$\mu_A(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - c_i}{a_i}\right)^2 b_i}$$ \hfill (6)

**Layer 2.** Every node in this layer is a circle node labeled $\Pi$.

$$O^2_i = w_i = \mu A_i(x) \times \mu B(y), \ i = 1, 2.$$ \hfill (7)

Each node output represents the firing strength of a rule.

Fig. 2. The architecture of the ANFIS.
Layer 3. Every node in this layer is a circle node labeled N.

\[ O_i^3 = \overline{w} = \frac{w_i}{w_1 + w_2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \]  

(8)

Layer 4. Every node i in this layer is a square node with a node function:

\[ O_i^4 = \overline{w}_i f_i = \overline{w}_i (p_i x + q_i y + r_i) \]  

(9)

Layer 5. The single node in this layer is a circle node labeled Σ.

\[ O_i^5 = \sum \overline{w}_i f_i \]  

(10)

III. METHODS

The procedure of this research is shown in Fig. 3. The simulation environment based on MATLAB software package is selected. It is used as the main engineering tool for performing modeling and simulation of multimachine power systems, as well as for interfacing the user and appropriate simulation programs. MATLAB has been chosen due to availability of the powerful set of programming tools, signal processing, numerical functions, and convenient user-friendly interface. In this specially developed simulation environment, the evaluation procedures can be easily performed. We have used Fuzzy logic Toolbox of MATLAB to develop the ANFIS model with 4 inputs and single output as given in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 3. Procedure of the research.](image-url)
be determined precisely. The input parameters are obtained from recording devices sparsely located at sending end in a power system network. Due to limited available amount of practical fault data of transmission lines, it is necessary to generate training/testing data using simulation. To generate data for the typical transmission system, a computer program have been designed to generate training data for different faults.

3. Training the ANFIS.
Various network configurations were trained in order to establish an appropriate network with satisfactory performances. The ANFIS’s are trained to detect presence of fault, classify fault and finally when the stability system is achieved.

4. Evaluation of the trained ANFIS using test patterns until its performance is satisfactory.
When Network is trained, ANFIS’s should be given an acceptable output for unseen data. When output of test pattern and network’s error reached an acceptable range then, fuzzy system is adjusted in the best situation which means the membership functions and fuzzy rules are well adjusted.

All of these steps above are done off-line and when the structure and parameters of ANFIS are adjusted, it can be used as an on-line the PSS.

In this simulation, multimachine power system is demonstrated under a single line to ground fault simulation and then cleared with opening breaker on line which fault occurred. Disconnecting one of two tie-line transmission lines can change the area power transfer level into single-line power transfer level. System will oscillate to its new stable point, during that time system parameters will deviate. Power transfer from Area1 to Area2, voltage deviation response at M1, and power armature deviation response at M1 are observed and shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 5. Membership function of Inputs Variable for PSS
2. Selection of a suitable ANFIS structure for a given application.
Various ANFIS are designed for PSS to extend stability limits by modulating generator excitation to provide damping to the oscillation of synchronous machine rotors relative to one another. Membership function of inputs variable for PSS is shown in Fig. 5, while the structure of Sugeno type ANFIS for PSS is shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6. Structure of Sugeno type ANFIS for PSS.
Fig. 7. Power transfer from Area1 to Area2.

Fig. 8 shows the performance of Delta w PSS for angle speed of machine ($\omega$), active power of machine ($P_a$), and terminal voltage of machine when single line to ground fault occurs in transmission line. The multimachine power system has achieved the stability state in 5s, although the system has oscillating in 3s. The Delta w PSS need to improve in order to stable the multimachine power system more robust.

The powerful of Neuro-Fuzzy based PSS is shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9, the PSS has successfully create the stability of multimachine power system in 3s, although the system has oscillating in 2s. The time for stability is faster than Delta w PSS. Therefore, Neuro-Fuzzy based PSS more robust than Delta w PSS in order to achieve the stability of multimachine power system.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we present a neuro-fuzzy based power system stabilizer that relies on a fuzzy logic supervisor enabling a soft transition between two robust PSS, Fuzzy and SMC stabilizers to overcome low oscillations inherent to power systems operation. Simulation for two different operating conditions seem to indicate that the approach puts to good use the advantages of the two techniques mentioned while discarding, to some extent, their inherent limitations. Simulation test showed the effectiveness of the robustness of the proposed Neuro-Fuzzy based PSS.
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